

Tetrahedron 59 (2003) 4377–4381

TETRAHEDRON

Highly efficient syntheses of 3-aryl-2-cycloalken-1-ones and an evaluation of their liquid crystalline properties

C. M. Marson,* L. D. Farrand,† R. Brettle and D. A. Dunmur‡

Department of Chemistry, University of Sheffield, Sheffield S3 7HF, UK

Received 3 January 2003; revised 18 March 2003; accepted 10 April 2003

Abstract—Cycloalkenones are shown to be mesogens and can be synthesised in near quantitative yields by a convergent palladium(0)catalysed cross-coupling strategy; a 2-methyl group induces a change of phase from smectic to nematic. © 2003 Elsevier Science Ltd. All rights reserved.

In recent years transition metal-catalysed reactions have been extensively used to form carbon–carbon σ -bonds between sp^2 carbon centres.^{[1](#page-4-0)} Suzuki's group²⁻⁴ reported the synthesis of biaryls by the palladium(0)-catalysed cross coupling of an arylboronic acids with aryl bromides. This method has been applied by Gray and \cos -workers^{[5](#page-4-0)} to the preparation of substituted biphenyl and terphenyl units in liquid crystalline assemblies. Suzuki and co-workers^{[6](#page-4-0)} have shown that a reasonably efficient cross-coupling reaction of alkylboronic esters with organic halides is catalysed by palladium(0) in the presence of thallium(I) salts. Gilchrist and Summersell^{[7](#page-4-0)} used a palladium (0) catalyst for the crosscoupling of a bromodiene with 3-iodo-2-cyclohexen-1-one in satisfactory yield via a bromozinc intermediate.

Our group has been interested in developing efficient syntheses of aryl cyclic enones, an assembly that has been shown to be a useful core structure for new liquid crystalline materials both in racemic 8.9 and optically pure forms.^{[10](#page-4-0)} However, in our hands, a conventional approach involving metalation of bromoarenes with *n*-butyllithium and subsequent addition to enol ethers of cyclic 1,3-diketones rarely gave the desired 3-aryl-2-cycloalken-1-ones in yields above 50%, and Grignard methods were even less satisfactory.

Moreover, such metalations restrict the scope of functionality that could be present. We report herein an efficient solution to this problem, thereby providing a general and direct method for the preparation of 3-aryl-2-en-1-ones by the palladium(0)-catalyzed cross coupling of arylboronic acids with 3-bromo-2-en-1-ones (Scheme 1). The arylboronic acids 1 were prepared by addition of *n*-butyllithium to the requisite aryl bromide, followed by treatment with triisopropyl borate, then with dilute hydrochloric acid. The cyclic 3-bromo-2-en-1-ones 2 were prepared $(>\!\!95\%$ yield) by addition of triethylamine (1.1 equiv.) and the appropriate 1,3-diketone to a stirred suspension of triphenylphosphine dibromide^{[11](#page-4-0)} (1.1 equiv.) in benzene; the subsequent solution was then kept at 20° C for 2 h. Chromatography on silica gel afforded the 3-bromo-2-en-1-ones as oils that were used directly. The 3-bromo-2-en-1-ones were coupled with the arylboronic acids using tetrakis-(triphenylphosphine)palla $dium(0)$ as a catalyst $(0.3 \text{ mol\%)}$ to give the corresponding 3-aryl-2-cycloalken-1-ones. The procedure provides near quantitative yields ([Table 1](#page-1-0)) and is very convenient since drying of the reagents is not necessary. Moreover, it is amenable to scaling up: 15 g of 3a was prepared in one run and without substantial diminution in yield (96%). As a comparison, one acyclic 3-bromo-2-en-1-one was submitted

Scheme 1.

Keywords: palladium(0); arylboronic acids; cycloalkenone.

^{*} Corresponding author. Address: Department of Chemistry, University College London, Christopher Ingold Laboratories, 20 Gordon Street, London WC1H 0AJ, UK. Fax: +44-20-7679-7463; e-mail: c.m.marson@ucl.ac.uk

[†] Present address: Merck Chemicals Ltd, NBC, UK, Chilworth Science Park, University Parkway, Chilworth, Southampton SO16 7QD, UK.
[‡] Present address: Department of Chemistry, University of Southampton, Southampton SO17

Table 1. Palladium(0) catalysed coupling of boronic acids with 3-bromo-2-cycloalken-1-ones

to the Suzuki coupling (Scheme 2); the corresponding arylated enone was obtained, although in poor yield.

Determination of melting points and any phase transitions for the arylated 2-cycloalken-1-ones revealed a number of interesting features. The more planar 3-aryl-2-cyclopenten-1-one $3g$ (mp 67–69°C) evidently packs more tightly than the 3-aryl-2-cyclohexen-1-one $3a$ (mp 24° C) with its distorted chair conformation. The presence of a 2-methyl group in the 3-aryl-2-cyclopenten-1-one $3h$ (mp $44-45^{\circ}$ C)

Enone	Transition temperature $({}^{\circ}C)^{a}$	Onset temperature $({}^{\circ}C)^{b}$
3d	$K-86-SmA-112-I$	80.8; 106.5
3e	K-97-SmB-144- SmA-169-I	85.8; 132.4; 156.9
3i	K-169-SmA-186-I	167.4; 184.7
3i	K-63-N-68-I $I-65-N-SmA-44-Kc$	57.8: 63.2

Table 2. Transition and onset temperatures of mesogenic cycloalkenones

^a Transition temperatures were obtained from polarising microscopy.

^b Onset temperatures were determined from differential scanning calori-

metry on heating.

 \degree The transition temperatures I-65-N-SmA-44-K were observed on cooling.

lowers the ordering of the molecules in the solid state, in comparison with that of the unsubstituted derivative 3g. The tricyclic systems 3i and 3j are mesogenic: whereas both the cyclohexenone 3e and the cyclopentenone 3i each show smectic phases above the melting points, the methylsubstituent in 2-cyclopenten-1-one 3j gives rise to a dramatic depression of the phase transitions by some 100° C. The ability of a methyl group to confer a nematic phase (less ordered relative to the smectic phase observed in the unsubstituted derivative) is noteworthy, and the 2 cyclopenten-1-one 3j is one of the few cyclic enones that has been reported to exhibit nematic phase behaviour. A strong nematic phase is indicated because long alkoxy substituents typically confer smectic phase characteristics. Unlike the substituted phenyl-2-cyclohexen-1-ones 3a,3b,3c and 3f, which at their melting points (K) become isotropic liquids, the biphenylyl-2-cyclohexen-1-ones 3d and 3e are mesogenic and display smectic (Sm) phases (Table 2).

Materials for fast switching thin film transistor liquid crystal displays (TFT-LCD) require a high dielectric anisotropy $(\Delta \varepsilon)$ (obtained by attaching highly polar groups in the direction of the long molecular axis), combined with a low birefringence (Δn) . Although the conjugation of a polar group such as cyanide attached to an arene, a typical feature of many liquid crystal materials, gives a high $\Delta \varepsilon$ it also leads to an undesirably high optical anisotropy. In contrast, the enones described here have been investigated and shown to have high $\Delta \varepsilon$ and low Δn ,^{[12](#page-4-0)} most probably because of lower polar conjugation compared with a substituted nitrile system. The materials described here are potential candidates for display applications.

1. Experimental

1.1. General

Transition temperatures (uncorrected) were determined on a Zeiss Universal polarising microscope equipped with a Linkam hot stage with integrated controller. All liquid crystalline compounds were also characterised using a Perkin-Elmer DSC-7 differential scanning calorimeter. ¹H and 13C NMR spectra were run on a Bruker AM-250 instrument at 250 and 68.8 MHz, respectively. Microanalytical data were obtained on a Perkin–Elmer 2400 CHN elemental analyser. Low-resolution mass spectra were obtained on a Kratos MS-25 instrument, and high-resolution

spectra were obtained on a Kratos MS-80HR instrument. Infrared spectra were recorded on a Perkin–Elmer 684 or 157G instrument. Thin-layer chromatography was performed on Merck 0.2 mm aluminium-backed silica gel 60 F_{254} plates and visualized using an alkaline KMnO₄ spray or by ultraviolet light. Flash column chromatography was performed using Sorbsil C60 40/60A silica gel. Petroleum ether (40–60 fraction) and ethyl acetate were distilled before use; tetrahydrofuran was distilled over sodium and benzophenone; dichloromethane was distilled over calcium hydride. Evaporation refers to the removal of solvent under reduced pressure.

The following compounds were prepared by literature procedures: 3-bromo-2-cyclohexen-1-one,^{[13](#page-4-0)} 3-bromo-5,5-dimethyl-2-cyclohexen-1-one,^{[14](#page-4-0)} 3-bromo-2-cyclopenten- 1 -one^{[15](#page-4-0)} and 3-bromo-2-methyl-2-cyclopenten-1-one,^{[14](#page-4-0)} 4-heptylphenylboronic acid,^{[5](#page-4-0)} 4-decylphenylboronic acid,⁵ 4-nonyloxyphenylboronic acid,^{[5](#page-4-0)} 4'-heptylbiphenyl-4-ylboronic acid^{[5](#page-4-0)} and 4'-decyloxybiphenyl-4-ylboronic acid.⁵

1.1.1. 3-Bromo-2-cyclopenten-1-one $(2c)$.^{[15](#page-4-0)} Triethylamine (0.57 g, 5.6 mmol), freshly distilled from lithium aluminium hydride, and cyclopentane-1,3-dione (0.50 g, 5.1 mmol) were added to a suspension of dibromo-triphenylphosphorane (2.34 g, 5.6 mmol) in benzene (8 mL). The mixture was stirred at 20° C for 2 h, then evaporated and the residue filtered through a short column of silica gel using diethyl ether. Evaporation of the eluant afforded (2c) as a colourless oil (0.76 g, 96%); IR (film) λ_{max} 1715, 1585 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR $(CDCl_3)$ δ 6.37 (1H, m), 2.95 (2H, m), 2.50 (2H, m); ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃) δ 204.8 (s), 161.2 (s), 135.7 (d) 37.2 (t), 37.0 (t).

1.1.2. 4-Bromopent-3-en-2-one (2e). Triethylamine (2.22 g, 22.0 mmol), freshly distilled from lithium aluminium hydride, and pentane-2,4-dione (2.0 g, 20.0 mmol) were added to a suspension of dibromo-triphenylphosphorane (8.40 g, 20.0 mmol) in benzene (20 mL). The mixture was stirred at 50° C for 24 h, then evaporated and the residue filtered through a short column of silica gel eluted with 1:1 diethyl ether: petroleum ether. Evaporation of the eluant afforded $(2k)$ as a pale brown oil $(1.56 g,$ 48%); IR (film) λ_{max} 1700 (C=0), 1605 (C=C) cm⁻¹;
¹H NMR (CDCL) δ 6.66 (1H m) 2.69 (3H s CH) 2.14 ¹H NMR (CDCl₃) δ 6.66 (1H, m), 2.69 (3H, s, CH₃), 2.14 $(3H, s, CH₃CO)$ which was used promptly in coupling reactions.

1.2. Preparation of arylboronic acids: general procedure

n-Butyllithium (34.8 mL, 2.5 M in hexanes, 87.0 mmol) was added dropwise to a stirred, cooled solution $(-78^{\circ}C)$ of the appropriate aryl bromide (62.2 mmol) in dry THF. The resulting solution was stirred magnetically at -78° C for 2 h. A cooled solution (-78°C) of triisopropylborate (23.3 g, 124 mmol) in dry THF was then added and the mixture was allowed to warm to 20° C over 16 h. Hydrochloric acid (1 M, 150 mL) was added and the mixture was stirred for 1 h. The mixture was extracted with diethyl ether $(2\times100 \text{ mL})$ and the combined ethereal extracts were washed with water and dried $(MgSO₄)$. The solvent was evaporated to give a white solid that was recrystallised from methanol to give the boronic acid as a white crystalline solid.

1.3. Cross-coupling of arylboronic acids with 3-bromo-2 en-1-ones: general procedure

A solution of the arylboronic acid (2.60 mmol) in ethanol (15 mL) was added to a stirred mixture of the 3-bromo-2-en-1-one (2.0 mmol) and tetrakis(triphenylphosphine)palladium(0) $(0.6 \text{ mg}, 6.0 \text{ \mu m})$ in benzene (30 mL) and aqueous sodium carbonate $(2 M, 30 mL)$ at 20° C. The stirred mixture was heated under reflux (approx. 95°C) for 16 h. The product was extracted into diethyl ether $(2\times50$ mL) and the combined ethereal extracts were washed with brine, dried $(MgSO₄)$ and evaporated. The residue was purified by column chromatography or recrystallisation to give the 3-arylated-2-cycloalken-1-one.

1.3.1. Cycloalkenone (3a). Chromatography using 1:4 ethyl acetate: light petroleum afforded 3a as a yellow oil (0.52 g, 97%) that solidified on standing, mp 24°C; IR (nujol) λ_{max} 1665 cm^{-1} ; ¹H NMR (CDCl₃) δ 7.39 (2H, d, J=8.0 Hz, aryl-2,6-H), 7.14 (2H, d, J=8.0 Hz, aryl-3,5H), 6.35 (1H, m, vinylic), 2.74 (2H, td, $J=7.0$, 1.0 Hz, $CH_2CH_2CH_2CO$), 2.55 (2H, t, $J=8.0$ Hz, CH_2 aryl), 2.39 (2H, m, CH_2CH_2 - CH_2CO), 2.05 (2H, m, $CH_2CH_2CH_2CO$), 1.53 (2H, quintet, $J=8.0$ Hz, CH_2CH_2alkyl , 1.35–1.10 (8H, m, alkyl), 0.97 (3H, t, J=8.0 Hz, CH₃); ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃) δ 200.0 (s), 159.7 (s), 145.4 (s), 135.9 (s), 128.8 (d), 126.0 (d), 124.6 (d), 37.3 (t), 35.7 (t), 31.8 (t), 31.2 (t), 29.2 (t), 29.1 (t), 28.0 (t), 22.8 (t), 22.7 (t), 14.1 (q). LRMS (EI) m/e 270 (M⁺, 66%), 242 (49), 204 (40), 120 (43), 91 (45); HRMS calcd for $C_{19}H_{26}O$ 270.1984, found 270.1974.

1.3.2. Cycloalkenone (3b). Chromatography using 1:4 ethyl acetate: light petroleum afforded 3b as a yellow oil (0.60 g, 96%); IR (nujol) λ_{max} 1665 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (CDCl₃) δ 7.38 $(2H, d, J=9.0 \text{ Hz}, \text{aryl-2,6H}), 7.29 (2H, d, J=9.0 \text{ Hz}, \text{aryl-2,6H}).$ 3,5H), 6.34 (1H, t, $J=1.5$ Hz, vinylic), 2.68 (2H, td, $J=7.0$, 1.5 Hz, $CH_2CH_2CH_2CO$), 2.56 (2H, t, $J=8.0$ Hz, CH_2aryl), 2.48 (2H, m, CH₂CH₂CH₂CO), 2.06 (2H, m, CH₂CH₂CH₂ CO), 1.56 (2H, quintet, $J=8.0$ Hz, OCH₂CH₂aryl), 1.25– 1.15 (14H, m, alkyl), 0.93 (3H, t, $J=8.0$ Hz, CH₃); ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃) δ 200.0 (s), 159.6 (s), 145.5 (s), 135.9 (s), 128.8 (d), 126.1 (d), 124.6 (d), 37.3 (t), 35.7 (t), 31.9 (t), 31.3 (t), 30.9 (t), 29.6 (t), 29.5 (t), 29.3 (t), 29.3 (t), 28.0 (t), 22.8 (t), 22.7 (t), 14.1 (q).

1.3.3. Cycloalkenone (3c). Chromatography using dichloromethane, followed by recrystallisation from light petroleum afforded 3c (0.58 g, 92%), as prisms, mp $51-52^{\circ}$ C; IR (nujol) λ_{max} 1660 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (CDCl₃) δ 7.44 (2H, d, J=8.0 Hz, aryl), 6.83 (2H, d, J=8.0 Hz, aryl), 6.33 (1H, t, $J=1.0$ Hz, vinylic), 3.93 (2H, t, $J=6.0$ Hz, OCH₂), 2.67 (2H, td, $J=5.5$, 1.0 Hz, $CH_2CH_2CH_2CO$), 2.38 (2H, m, $CH_2CH_2CH_2CO$), 2.17 (2H, quintet, $J=6.0$ Hz, CH_2CH_2 alkyl), 1.72 (2H, m, CH₂CH₂CH₂CO), 1.45–1.15 (12H, m, alkyl), 0.82 (3H, t, J=6.0 Hz, CH₃); ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃) δ 199.9 (s), 160.9 (s), 159.2 (s), 130.5 (s), 127.6 (d), 123.6 (d), 114.6 (d), 68.2 (t), 37.2 (t), 31.9 (t), 29.5 (t), 29.4 (t), 29.3 (t), 29.2 (t), 27.8 (t), 26.0 (t), 22.8 (t), 22.7 (t), 14.1 (q). Anal. calcd for $C_{21}H_{30}O_2$: C, 80.21; H, 9.62%; found: C, 80.20; H, 9.82%.

1.3.4. Cycloalkenone (3d). Recrystallisation from 1:5 ethyl acetate: light petroleum afforded 3d $(0.66 \text{ g}, 95\%)$, as

prisms, mp 86°C; IR (nujol) λ_{max} 1660 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR $(CDCl_3)$ δ 7.63 (4H, m, aryl), 7.54 (2H, d, J=7.5 Hz, aryl), 7.27 (2H, d, $J=7.5$ Hz, aryl), 6.49 (1H, t, $J=1.0$ Hz, vinylic), 2.82 (2H, td, $J=7.0$, 1.2 Hz, $CH_2CH_2CH_2CO$), 2.65 (2H, t, J=8.1 Hz, CH_2 alkyl), 2.51 (2H, m, CH₂CH₂₋ CH₂CO), 2.18 (2H, m, CH₂CH₂CH₂CO), 1.75–1.59 (2H, m, alkyl), 1.41-1.24 (8H, m, alkyl), 0.82 (3H, t, J=7.5 Hz, CH₃); ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃) δ 199.9 (s), 159.7 (s), 142.9 (s), 142.8 (s), 137.3 (s), 137.1 (s), 129.0 (d), 127.1 (d), 126.9 (d), 126.6 (d), 125.0 (t), 37.3 (t), 35.7 (t), 31.8 (t), 31.5 (t), 29.3 (t), 29.2 (t), 28.0 (t), 22.8 (t), 22.7 (t), 14.1 (q). Anal. calcd for $C_{25}H_{30}O$: C, 86.66; H, 8.73%; found: C, 86.85; H, 8.48%.

1.3.5. Cycloalkenone (3e). Chromatography using dichloromethane, followed by recrystallisation from light petroleum afforded 3e $(0.74 \text{ g}, 92\%)$, as prisms, mp 97° C; IR (nujol) λ_{max} 1660 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (CDCl₃) δ 7.52 (4H, m, aryl), 7.48 (2H, d, $J=7.5$ Hz, aryl), 6.91 (2H, d, $J=7.5$ Hz, aryl), 6.42 (1H, t, $J=1.0$ Hz, vinylic), 3.90 (2H, t, $J=6.5$ Hz, OCH₂), 2.75 (2H, td, J=5.5, 1.0 Hz, CH₂CH₂CH₂CO), 2.44 (2H, m, CH₂CH₂CH₂CO), 2.22 (2H, m, CH₂CH₂CH₂CO), 1.74 (2H, quintet, $J=6.5$ Hz, OCH₂CH₂), 1.45-1.17 (14H, m, alkyl), 0.82 (3H, t, J=6.5 Hz, CH₃); ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃) δ 199.9 (s), 159.2 (s), 142.8 (s), 142.5 (s), 136.7 (s), 132.2 (s), 128.1 (d), 126.8 (d), 126.6 (d), 124.9 (d), 118.3 (t), 114.9 (d), 68.1 (t), 37.3 (t), 31.9 (t), 29.6 (t), 29.4 (t), 29.3 (t), 29.3 (t), 28.0 (t), 26.1 (t), 22.8 (t), 22.7 (t), 14.1 (q). Anal. calcd for $C_{28}H_{36}O_2$: C, 83.12; H, 8.97%; found: C, 82.85; H, 8.77%.

1.3.6. Cycloalkenone (3f). Recrystallisation from light petroleum afforded 3f (0.67 g, 98%), as prisms, mp 63 $^{\circ}$ C; IR (nujol) λ_{max} 1665 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (CDCl₃) δ 7.56 (2H, d, $J=7.5$ Hz, aryl), 6.92 (2H, d, $J=7.5$ Hz, aryl), 6.38 (1H, t, $J=1.0$ Hz, vinylic), 3.98 (2H, t, $J=5.5$ Hz, OCH₂), 2.61 $(2H, d, J=1.0 \text{ Hz}, = CCH_2)$, 2.30 (2H, s, CH₂CO), 1.76 (2H, quintet, $J=5.5$ Hz, OCH₂CH₂), 1.51–1.25 (12H, m, alkyl), 1.12 (6H, s, 2 \times CH₃), 0.91 (3H, t, J=5.5 Hz, CH₃); ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃) δ 200.1 (s), 160.8 (s), 157.0 (s), 130.8 (s), 127.7 (d), 122.5 (d), 114.7 (d), 68.2 (t), 50.9 (t), 42.1 (t), 33.6 (t), 31.9 (t), 29.5 (t), 29.4 (t), 29.3 (t), 29.2 (t), 28.5 (q), 25.6 (t), 22.7 (t), 14.1 (q). Anal. calcd for $C_{23}H_{34}O_2$: C, 80.65; H, 10.00%; found: C, 80.77; H, 9.77%.

1.3.7. Cycloalkenone (3g). Recrystallisation from light petroleum afforded 3g (0.53 g, 97%), as prisms, mp 67– 69°C; IR (nujol) λ_{max} 1670 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (CDCl₃) δ 7.50 (2H, d, J=9.0 Hz, aryl), 6.83 (2H, d, J=9.0 Hz, aryl), 6.35 (1H, t, $J=1.0$ Hz, vinylic), 3.90 (2H, t, $J=6.0$ Hz, OCH₂), 2.87 (2H, m, CH₂CH₂CO), 2.42 (2H, m, CH₂CH₂CO), 1.71 (2H, quintet, $J=6.0$ Hz, OCH₂CH₂), 1.45–1.10 (8H, m, alkyl), 0.81 (3H, t, J=6.0 Hz, CH₃); ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃) δ 209.7 (s), 174.1 (s), 161.8 (s), 128.6 (s), 126.4 (d), 125.2 (d), 114.7 (d), 68.2 (t), 35.3 (t), 31.8 (t), 29.1 (t), 29.0 (t), 28.6 (t), 25.9 (t), 22.6 (t), 14.1 (q); LRMS (EI) m/e 272 (M⁺, 49%), 174 (100), 57 (18); HRMS calcd for $C_{18}H_{24}O_2$ 272.1769, found 272.1776.

1.3.8. Cycloalkenone (3h). Recrystallisation from light petroleum afforded 3h (0.55 g, 96%), as prisms, mp 44– 45° C; IR (nujol) λ_{max} 1700 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (CDCl₃) δ 7.53 $(2H, d, J=9.0 \text{ Hz}, \text{aryl})$, 6.96 $(2H, d, J=9.0 \text{ Hz}, \text{aryl})$, 4.00

(2H, t, J=7.0 Hz, OCH₂), 2.89 (2H, m, CH₂CH₂CO), 2.51 $(2H, m, CH₂CH₂CO), 1.98$ (3H, t, $J=2.0$ Hz, CH₃), 1.80 (2H, quintet, $J=7.0$ Hz, OCH₂CH₂), 1.50–1.25 (8H, m, alkyl), 0.89 (3H, t, J=7.0 Hz, CH₃); ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃) δ 209.8 (s), 166.0 (s), 160.3 (s), 134.7 (s), 129.3 (d), 128.6 (s), 114.5 (d), 66.2 (t), 33.9 (t), 31.8 (t), 29.2 (t), 29.1 (t), 28.9 (t), 26.0 (t), 22.6 (t), 14.1 (q), 10.2 (q); LRMS (EI) m/e 286 $(M⁺, 94%)$, 188 (100), 171 (39), 110 (16); HRMS calcd for $C_{19}H_{26}O_2$ 286.1928, found 286.1933.

1.3.9. Cycloalkenone (3i). Recrystallisation from light petroleum afforded 3i $(0.75 \text{ g}, 96\%)$, as prisms, mp 169 $^{\circ}$ C; IR (nujol) λ_{max} 1670 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (CDCl₃) δ 7.66 (4H, m, aryl), 7.62 (2H, d, $J=9.0$ Hz, aryl), 6.96 (2H, d, $J=9.0$ Hz, aryl), 6.69 (1H, t, $J=1.0$ Hz, vinylic), 4.00 (2H, t, $J=6.5$ Hz, OCH₂), 3.14 (2H, m, CH₂CH₂CO), 2.69 (2H, m, CH₂CH₂CO), 1.71 (2H, quintet, $J=6.5$ Hz, OCH₂CH₂), 1.58–1.37 (14H, m, alkyl), 0.75 (3H, t, J=6.5 Hz, CH₃); ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃) δ 209.3 (s), 173.6 (s), 159.4 (s), 143.7 (s), 132.2 (s), 132.1 (s), 128.1 (d), 127.3 (d), 127.0 (d), 126.9 (d), 115.0 (d), 68.2 (t), 35.3 (t), 31.9 (t), 29.6 (t), 29.4 (t), 29.3 (t), 29.2 (t), 28.6 (t), 26.1 (t), 22.7 (t), 14.1 (q); LRMS (EI) m/e 390 (M⁺, 100%), 250 (60); HRMS calcd for $C_{27}H_{34}O_2$ 390.2458, found 390.2559.

1.3.10. Cycloalkenone (3j). Recrystallisation from light petroleum afforded $3j(0.79 \text{ g}, 98\%)$, as white needles, mp 63°C; IR (nujol) λ_{max} 1700 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (CDCl₃) δ 7.56 (4H, m, aryl), 7.48 (2H, d, J=7.5 Hz, aryl), 6.93 (2H, d, J=7.5 Hz, aryl), 3.94 (2H, t, J=7.0 Hz, OCH₂), 2.87 (2H, m, CH₂CH₂CO), 2.47 (2H, m, CH₂CH₂CO), 1.96 (3H, t, $J=2.0$ Hz, CH₃), 1.74 (2H, quintet, $J=7.0$ Hz, OCH₂CH₂), 1.45–1.12 (14H, m, alkyl), 0.83 (3H, t, J=7.0 Hz, CH₃); ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃) δ 209.7 (s), 166.0 (s), 159.2 (s), 142.0 (s), 136.2 (s), 134.5 (s), 132.3 (s), 128.1 (d), 126.1 (d), 126.7 (d), 114.9 (d), 68.2 (t), 34.0 (t), 31.9 (t), 29.6 (t), 29.4 (t), 29.3 (t), 29.2 (t), 29.1 (t), 26.1 (t), 22.7 (t), 14.1 (q), 10.1 (q). Anal. calcd for $C_{28}H_{36}O_2$: C, 83.11; H, 8.97%; found: C, 83.05; H, 9.08%.

1.3.11. 3-(4-Nonyloxyphenyl)-pent-3-en-2-one (3k). Chromatography using 1:4 diethyl ether: light petroleum afforded 3k (0.18 g, 30%), as prisms, mp $52-52.5^{\circ}$ C; ¹H NMR (CDCl₃) δ 7.39 (2H, d, J=8.0 Hz, aryl), 6.83 (2H, d, $J=8.0$ Hz, aryl), 6.44 (1H, d, $J=1.0$ Hz, vinylic), 3.92 (2H, t, J=6.0 Hz, OCH₂), 2.47 (3H, d, J=1.0 Hz, =CCH₃), 2.23 (3H, s, COCH₃), 1.73 (2H, quintet, $J=6.0$ Hz, OCH₂CH₂), $1.45-1.12$ (12H, m, alkyl), 0.80 (3H, t, $J=6.0$ Hz, CH₂CH₃); ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃) δ 198.8 (s), 160.2 (s), 153.4 (s), 134.2 (s), 127.8 (d), 122.7 (d), 114.4 (d), 68.1 (t), 32.3 (q), 31.9 (t), 29.5 (t), 29.4 (t), 29.3 (t), 29.2 (t), 26.0 (t), 22.7 (t), 18.0 (q), 14.1 (q). LRMS (EI) m/e 302 (M⁺, 100%), 287 (33), 175 (87), 149 (77); HRMS calcd for $C_{20}H_{30}O_2$ 302.2238, found 302.2246.

Acknowledgements

We are grateful for financial support of this work by Hitachi Research Laboratory, Hitachi Ltd, Japan including provision of a studentship (to L. D. F.).

References

- 1. Knight, D. W. Comprehensive Organic Synthesis; Trost, B. M., Ed.; Pergamon Press: Oxford, 1991; Vol. 3, p 481.
- 2. Miyaura, N.; Yanagi, T.; Suzuki, A. Synth. Commun. 1981, 11, 513.
- 3. Suzuki, A. Acc. Chem. Res. 1982, 15, 178.
- 4. Suzuki, A. Pure Appl. Chem. 1991, 63, 419.
- 5. Gray, G. W.; Hird, M.; Lacey, D.; Toyne, K. J. J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 2 1989, 2041.
- 6. Sato, M.; Miyaura, N.; Suzuki, A. Chem. Lett. 1989, 1405.
- 7. Gilchrist, T. L.; Summersell, R. J. Tetrahedron Lett. 1987, 28, 1469.
- 8. Brettle, R.; Dunmur, D. A.; Farrand, L. D.; Hindley, N. J.; Marson, C. M. Chem. Lett. 1993, 1663.
- 9. Bezborodov, V. S.; Sasnouski, G. M.; Lapanik, V. I.; Dabrowski, R.; Dziaduszek, J.; Tyvorskii, V. I. Liq. Cryst. 2001, 28, 1755.
- 10. Brettle, R.; Dunmur, D. A.; Farrand, L. D.; Marson, C. M. J. Chem Soc., Chem. Commun. 1994, 2305.
- 11. Piers, E.; Nagakura, I. Synth. Commun. 1975, 5, 193.
- 12. Farrand, L. D.; Utsumi, Y.; Kagawa, H.; Kondo, K. Liq. Cryst. 1996, 20, 751.
- 13. Crossley, A. W.; Haas, P. J. Chem. Soc. 1903, 83, 494.
- 14. Gruber, L.; Tömösközi, I.; Radics, L. Synthesis 1975, 708.
- 15. Shih, C.; Swenton, J. S. J. Org. Chem. 1982, 47, 2825.